

(3) assign to the District all third party agreements associated with the Project Irrigation Works;

(4) continue power deliveries provided under section 6 of this Act; and

(5) assume full responsibility to indemnify and defend the District against any claim known as the Grillo Claim, government contractor construction claims accruing at any time, and any other suits or claims filed against the United States as of the date of the Settlement Agreement.

(c) **PROJECT CONSTRUCTION COSTS.**—The transfer of title authorized by this Act shall not affect the timing or amount of the obligation of the Bonneville Power Administration for the repayment of construction costs incurred by the Federal government under section 202 of the Act of September 28, 1976 (90 Stat. 1324, 1326) that the Secretary of the Interior has determined to be beyond the ability of the irrigators to pay. The obligation shall remain charged to, and be returned to the Reclamation Fund as provided for in section 2 of the Act of June 14, 1966 (80 Stat. 200) as amended by section 6 of the Act of September 7, 1966 (80 Stat. 707, 714).

SEC. 6. POWER.

Nothing in this Act shall be construed as having any effect on power arrangements under Public Law 94-423 (90 Stat. 1324). The United States shall continue to provide to the District power and energy for irrigation water pumping for the Project, including Dairy Point Pumping Plant. However, the amount and term of reserved power shall not exceed, respectively—

- (1) 27,100,000 kilowatt hours per year; and
- (2) 50 years commencing October 18, 1990.

The rate that the District shall pay the Secretary for such reserved power shall continue to reflect full recovery of Bonneville Power Administration transmission costs.

SEC. 7. CONVEYANCE.

(a) **CONVEYANCE OF INTERESTS OF UNITED STATES.**—Subject to valid existing rights, the Secretary is authorized to convey all right, title, and interest, without warranties, of the United States in and to all Project Irrigation Works to the District. In the event a significant cultural resource or hazardous waste site is identified, the Secretary is authorized to defer or delay transfer of title to any parcel until required Federal action is completed.

(b) **RETENTION OF TITLE TO WILDLIFE MITIGATION FACILITIES.**—The Secretary will retain title to the Wildlife Mitigation Facilities. The District shall remain obligated to deliver water to and provide for the operations and maintenance of the Wildlife Mitigation Facilities at its own expense in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

(c) **RESERVATION.**—The transfer of rights and interests pursuant to subsection (a) shall reserve to the United States all oil, gas, and other mineral deposits and a perpetual right to existing public access open to public fishing, hunting, and other outdoor recreation purposes, and such other existing public uses.

SEC. 8. REPAYMENT CONTRACT.

Upon conveyance of title to the Project Irrigation Works notwithstanding any parcels delayed in accordance with section 7(a), the 1964 Basic Contract, and the 1979 Repayment Contract between the District and Reclamation, shall be terminated and of no further force or effect.

SEC. 9. INDIAN TRUST RESPONSIBILITIES.

The District shall remain obligated to deliver water under appropriate water service contracts to Indian Trust Lands upon request from the owners or lessees of such land.

SEC. 10. LIABILITY.

Upon completion of the conveyance of Project Irrigation Works under this Act, the District shall—

(1) be liable for all acts or omissions relating to the operation and use of the Project Irrigation Works that occur before or after the conveyance except for the Grillo Claim, government contractor construction claims accruing at any time, and any other suits or claims filed as of the date of the Settlement Agreement;

(2) absolve the United States and its officers and agents of responsibility and liability for the design and construction including latent defects associated with the Project; and

(3) assume responsibility to indemnify and defend the United States against all claims whether now known or unknown and including those of third party claims associated with, arising from, or in any way related to, the Project except for the Grillo Claim, government contractor construction claims accruing at any time, and any other suits or claims filed as of the date of the Settlement Agreement.

SEC. 11. CERTAIN ACTS NOT APPLICABLE AND TERMINATION OF MANDATES.

(a) **RECLAMATION LAWS.**—All mandates imposed by the Reclamation Act of 1902, and all Acts supplementary thereto or amendatory thereof, including the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, upon the Project Irrigation Works shall be terminated upon the completion of the transfers as provided by this Act and the Settlement Agreement.

(b) **RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAWS.**—The transfer of title authorized by this Act shall not—

(1) be subject to the provisions of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the "Administrative Procedure Act"); or

(2) be considered a disposal of surplus property under the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 471 et seq.) and the Surplus Property Act of 1944 (50 U.S.C. App. 1601 et seq.).

(c) **DEAUTHORIZATION.**—Effective upon transfer of title to the District under this Act, that portion of the Oroville-Tonasket Unit Extension, Okanogan-Similkameen Division, Chief Joseph Dam Project, Washington, referred to in section 7(a) as the Project Irrigation Works is hereby deauthorized. After transfer of title, the District shall not be entitled to receive any further Reclamation benefits pursuant to the Reclamation Act of June 17, 1902, and Act supplementary thereto or amendatory thereof.

The CHAIRMAN. Are there further amendments to the bill?

If not, the question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD) having assumed the chair, Mr. EVERETT, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee has had under consideration the bill (H.R. 412) to approve a settlement agreement between the Bureau of Reclamation and the Oroville-Tonasket Irrigation District, pursuant to House Resolution 94, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 789

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to remove my name as a cosponsor of H.R. 789.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1, WORKING FAMILIES FLEXIBILITY ACT OF 1997

Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 105-31) on the resolution (H. Res. 99) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1) to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to provide compensatory time for employees in the private sector, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 993

Mr. TIAHRT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Colorado, DAN SCHAEFER, be removed as a cosponsor from H.R. 993, which I introduced on March 6.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kansas?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 5 of rule 1, the Chair will now put the question on each motion to suspend the rules on which further proceedings were postponed earlier today in the order in which that motion was entertained.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

H.R. 924, de novo; and H.R. 672, de novo.

VICTIM RIGHTS CLARIFICATION ACT OF 1997

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question de novo of suspending the rules and passing the bill, H.R. 924, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by gentleman from Florida [Mr. MCCOLLUM] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 924, as amended.